App F IX 4

Previous References (1941 / Jul) File No 4—41

Serial Nos 9, 10, 117, 143

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos

Part B for April 42

SUBJECT

Waiving [illegible] objection in regard to the contingent allowance in Subh Timmaly

To,

Mr D C Thomas
Mrs controller
L 8620-1 / 10 4 42
Sometimes the proposal re the

Mrs
24 4 42

961 L — Audit Objn - Cuddapah Town Mlty

General and Revenue Secretariat — L — M File No 6397 of 1941-42 — [App F III-2

Serial No

From -- the D C Cuddapah
A & C 20/41-42 D/13-8-41
Requests sanction to payment of contingent allowances of Re 1/- each from the Octroi Inspector and the bill collector, Cuddapah Town Mlty from the year 27-28 and objected to in audit
Draft letter calling for further information submitted for approval
By 16/8

TYPING SEC 18 AUG 1941

2 issue
HJ 16-8

To the D C Cuddapah
L 15-66 HJ 20-8-41
Calls for further information

Sent

From
The D C Cuddapah
Letter No A&C 20/41-42 D 29/42
States that the Contingent allowances in question have been paid to the octroi Inspector & the bill Collector of the Cuddapah Town Mlty both effective from 1-7-1927 & 1-7-32 respectively on the basis of provisions in the sanctioned budgets of the Mlty for those years and requests that the expenditure so far may be sanctioned and the allowances be merged with the office Contingency of Rs 4/- pm. The present procedure is not admissible under the Rules

Submitted with a draft G.O. sanctioning the payment

Approved
18/2
HJ

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Gen & Foreign Affs - Health Offrs

File No 4397/1 of 191 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

The procedure adopted by the Public Health Dept in this case is highly irregular. The contingent articles required by the actual Inspector of the Bill Collector should have been supplied by the Dept. and no separate cash allee of Rs 4/- p.m. each new Dept. have been given to them. As the amount has however been disbursed, the action in this behalf may be regularised in the cases reported.

2. As the proposal which is made is that the allee of Rs 4/- p.m. to Health Offrs above may be merged with the Office Contingency of Rs 4/- p.m. so that the supply of the required articles may be made for the above officers in many the big Depts, the scale of contingent charges allowed is Rs 4/- p.m. There is no necessity to increase it to Rs 6/- as now proposed. May the Comptroller be kindly consulted about the receipt of the proposed measure?

Secy to Govt
19/2/42

C & AG

BOMBAY LAB. DEPT
15-5-1
19 FEB 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

CONTROLLER'S OFFICE DAK
20 FEB 1942
5-19/5-2-42
ACCOUNTS
No N1088 23-2-42

No 360 of 20/2/42

6

*] Forwarded with Compliments to the Comptroller for the Govt of Mysore for favour of information and necessary action.

19/2/42

Asst Secy to Govt

Remarks

4397/L

Comptroller s Office

File No of 194 _

Serial No

No 477/sfl dated 18-3-42

U O No 438/CLAD dated 18th March 1942

6 Returned with compliments

7 There are no rules either in the Mysore Service Regulations or in the Municipal Account Manual permitting payment of such cash contingent allowances to the Octroi Mutsaddi and the Bill Collector who are wholetime employees of the Municipality The payment is said to be made in view of the long precedent and provision in the annual Budget The mere existence of provision in the Budget is no authority for incurring expenditure without competent sanction especially in regard to an item of recurring nature like the one in question The inclusion of provision itself in the Budget should have been based on some definite orders The payment made therefore is irregular

There seems to be no need to enhance the scale for contingent expenditure from Rs 4/- to Rs 6/- on this account at present as the normal scale of Rs 4/- has been already allowed to this Municipality as in the case of other Municipalities

Dy Comptroller

CV

No For Comptroller absent from HQ

Hm 2 18/3/42

10 Draft for regularising the payment made so far & directing that payment in future may be put up if is not necessary to end the Contingent bill by making the amount Rs 2/- in the monthly contingent bill

NOTE Contn Sheet.

439/1 Audit objection to Contingent allowance in the Gubbi Dam Dy.

Ind File No 4 of 194 1 Comptroller's Office

Serial No

11 Sealed with a draft G.O. for appl

Feb 22/3 Draft G.O. is in accordance with the Secy's minute of the Comptroller in the previous page It may be approved

Secy 22/3

Land Secy) 23/2/42

May be approved

Minister L S S)

Hm 2 23/3/42

Secy

Secy

8 6 42

Hm 2 9 4 42

Secy ✓

9/4/42

To, The D.C Tumkur Dy The Comptroller L 9620-1 Dated 10/4/42

Sanctions the payment of Contingent allowance made so far the same by the Commissioner in future

B 14/4

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR FOR L & G & P H Dt 24 3 1942 A 5831

961

No A6 C 20/41-42
Enc

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur the 13th August *194*

Rl 4-41-9

SECTT DEPT
14 AUG 1941
FROM GOVT OF MYSORE

GEN & REV SECRET
14 AUG 1941
SCT

G SUNDARA RAO, Esqr B A ,
~~K GURUDUTT, Esqr, B A~~
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District TUMKUR

To

P V NARAYANA RAO, Esqr B A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Subject — Re waiving of the audit objection in regard to the contingent allowance of Re 1/- made to the Octroi Mutsaddi and the Bill collector in Gubbi Town - - municipality

SIR

====

In forwarding herewith, in original, the letter received from the Amildar - in-charge of Gubbi town Municipality on the above subject I have the honour to state as follows -

The Amildar states that the contingent charges referred to above were being incurred every year from the year 1927-28 and that a provision of Rs 24/- therefor made in the sanctioned Budget Estimates of this Municipality under the head II(I) (c) and II(2) (c) contingencies It is now stated that the auditors have, during the audit of the accounts of this municipality for the year 1939-40 observed that as the contingent allowance paid to the staff, is of the nature of a monthly recurring charges sanction of Government will have to be obtained therefor

As due provision has been made in this behalf in the sanctioned Budget Estimates of this Municipality during the period referred to above, and as the expenditure has also been incurred, I request that formal sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to regularise the same and to the waiving of the audit objection in question

Subld with a dft G O sanctioning for appl 16/8

I have the honour to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant

K S V

Deputy commissioner,
Tumkur District

APPENDIX F (—1)

SUBJECT

Section Rel
File No 4-41
Serial No 10

Diary No of letter replied to

No 11566

To The D C Tenkasi

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193

Sir, With refce to your letter No A6 c 20/41-42 Dy 13-8-41 requesting sanction to the payment of a contingent allowance of Re 1/ each pm to Mr Victor Mustaddi & the bill Collector, Gubbi Town Mly from the year onwards, 1927 28 I am directed to Enquire as to why the allowance was not merely paid to these offls who should have been

Date of issue 20th August 1941

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures

Copied by [illegible] 20/8/41

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—30 000—6 1 39

supplied with contingent
account, Pumpa Nrie,
as there is
no provision in the
N S R for the payment
of ~~personal~~ such allowances
for contingencies, worthy it may not be
discontinued, as being irregular
I have etc

For Secy Law

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore August 194

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department

The Deput Commissioner
Tumkur District, Tumkur

With reference to your letter No A6 C 20/41-42 dated 13th August 1942, requesting sanction to the payment of a contingent allowance of Re 1/- each per mensem to the Octroi Mutsaddi and the bill collector, Gubbi Town Municipality from the year 192 -28 onwards, I am directed to enquire as to why the allowances in question were paid to these officials who should have been supplied with contingent articles from the office, as there is no provision in the Mysore Service Regulations for the payment of such allowances for contingencies and why it may not be discontinued as being irregular

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H Arumachalam
for Secretary to Government,
Law Department

J R 2

Rec 10/2

4397/L

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

M 4-41-117

OFFICE OF The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur
29th January 1942.

6 C 20/41-42

Date

To

H V Narayana Rao, Esq:, B A ,L. B ,
Secretary to Govt: of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Re the payment of contingent allowance of Re 1/- each per mensem to the Octroi Mutsaddi and the Bill Collector, Gubbi Town Municipality from the year 1927-28 onwards

---00---

With reference to your letter No L 1566/M1 4-41-10 dated 20th August 1941 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith in original the letter received from the Amildar in charge of Gubbi Town Municipality and to state as follows -

The Amildar states that the contingent allowances to the Octroi Mutsaddi was being ~~made~~ paid since requisite provision was made in the sanctioned Budget Estimates of this Town Municipality during those years and that a similar procedure was also adopted in the case of the contingent allowance to the bill collector from 1-7-1932

As the procedure adopted was objected to by the Audit Department, during the audit of accounts of this town Municipality sanction of Government was sought for to regularise the expenditure in order that the objection may be waived The Amildar requests that sanction of Government may be obtained for incurring the contingent allowances paid to the staff so far and for merging the same with the office contingency of Rs 4/- per month so that the supply of the required contingent articles from the office as the present procedure adopted by the Municipality is said to be inadmissible under the M S R for the payment of such allowances

I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained

in the matter

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. Somappa
29/1/42

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
(Absent from the station)
29/1/42

AD 29/1

[S. App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Abd

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

3 Copies

Section Rl

File No 42-41

Serial No 143

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 10/4/42 193

Signed by M G Rao

Read— Correspondence end ing with letter No A6-C20/41-42 dy 29/1/42 from the DC Tumkur desiring that the Subah monthly Town Duty has increased on account of Re one each towards Contingent allowance to the Octroi Inspectors and the Bill Collector in the Duty bill effect from 1-7-1927 and 1-7-1931 respectively on the strength of the Order thereon—No

Resolved that the proposals made in the Sanctioned budget of the Duty during the Revised years and that the expenditure has been objected to—withheld and regularly sanctioned by the Circumstances stated in the Correspondence read so far as to merge the same with the Office Contingencies of Rs 4/- per Mensem raising it to Rs 6/- per Mensem the present procedure is not admissible under the M. S. R

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

2) U O No. 438/CLSD/D/18342

From the Comptroller to Govt on the
Above subject

Order thereon L. 9.6.42

The expenditure mentioned so far by the
Gutki Town Duty towards contingent
allowances, paid to the octroi muccaddams
the Field Collectors, who are whole time employees of the Duty but
is sanctioned, as a special case
by the observation
that the payment of an item of recurring
expenditure like the contingent allowance
is merely on the basis of provisions in the
sanctioned budget of the Duty is irregular,
as it is contrary to Rules
~~of the Code or of the Civil Accounts Manual~~

The payment of the contingent
allowance should be discontinued
in future ~~if there seems to be no~~
need the proposal to merge the Constt allowance
of Rs 2/- pm in the office contingency of Rs 4/- pm
thus ~~increasing~~ increasing the salary to Rs 6/- pm
cannot be sanctioned as the normal
scale of ~~expenditure~~ Rs 6/- pm has
already been allowed to this Duty
as in the case of other Octroi Duties.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

Hm 23/3/42

Secretary to Govt

To: The DC Lunkaransar Dt. W/R
The Comptroller etc

Govt Law Dept

1564—GIB—22 500—9 1 39